

1695

final

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

BREEDING FOR RESISTANCE TO ALUMINUM TOXICITY IN WHEAT  
S. Rajaram, J. López C., E. Villegas, and N. E. Borlaug  
CIMMYT Londres 40, México 6, D.F.

Crop development is influenced by the interaction of many factors, including such things as soil moisture, temperature, and mineral stress. Mineral stress in plants can be caused by either nutritional deficiencies or toxicities. Deficiencies of one or more essential plant nutrients is widespread in developing countries, resulting in low productivity in vast areas of "worn out" soils. There are also large areas of the World, an estimated 2,960,800,000 hectares representing 22.46 percent of the world land area, that are adversely affected by mineral toxicities and/or deficiencies (Duval, 1976).

Among these problem soils are the highly leached acidic Oxisols and Ultisols, which are characterized by toxic levels of soluble aluminum (Al) and manganese. These soils cover approximately 1 billion hectares of the tropical and sub-tropical areas of Brazil, Southeast China, Southeast Asia, and Central Africa (Van Wambeke, 1976). Currently, these areas are either undeveloped for agriculture, or where cultivated, are of very low productivity. To meet a rapidly growing demand for food during the next four decades, these problem soils must be developed and improved in productivity. This can be done by a combination of plant improvement, corrective chemical fertilization, and improved management practices.

Aluminum and manganese toxicities are among the most important factors limiting the growth of crop plants in many acid

CIMMYT LIBRARY

1 soils of the world (Foy, 1973, 1974; Kamprath and Foy, 1971;  
2 Mc Lean, 1976; Olmos and Camargo, 1976; Da Silva, 1976). Alu-  
3 minum toxicity is particularly severe below pH 5.0, but has  
4 been reported in soils with pH values as high as 5.5 (Adams  
5 and Lund, 1966; Hester, 1935). The current approach to soil  
6 fertility recommends changing the soil pH by liming, which is  
7 not always economically feasible, particularly in strongly  
8 acidic subsoils.

9       Fortunately, however, a range of tolerance to Al toxicity  
10 has been found to be genetically controlled in a considerable  
11 number of species and varieties of crops (Moore et al., 1976;  
12 Camargo et al., 1980; Kerridge et al., 1971; Konzak et al.,  
13 1976). Aluminum toxicity severely inhibits root growth (Foy,  
14 1974) by preventing cell division (Clarkson, 1965, 1968;  
15 Henning, 1975) in the root apical meristem. The resulting  
16 drastically restricted root system makes the plant vulnerable  
17 to moisture stress and unable to utilize low levels of avail-  
18 able essential plant nutrients.

19       Brazilian wheat breeders pioneered the development of se-  
20 lecting wheat varieties for resistance to Al toxicity. This  
21 work was initiated in 1925 (Beckman, 1976), and over the past  
22 five decades has resulted in a large number of resistant var-  
23 ieties. Unfortunately, without exception these varieties are  
24 of poor agronomic type and have low genetic yield potential.  
25 On the other hand, the CIMMYT wheat varieties possess broad  
26 adaptation and high genetic yield potential, but without excep-  
27 tion are susceptible to Al toxicity and are poorly adapted to

1 acid soils. Seven years ago, CIMMYT, in collaboration with  
2 Brazilian wheat scientists, undertook a cooperative breeding  
3 program to combine the resistance to Al toxicity of the  
4 Brazilian varieties with the high genetic yield potential and  
5 the broad adaptation of the CIMMYT varieties. Currently,  
6 there are many advanced generation wheat lines developed by  
7 this cooperative program that are in the final stages of test-  
8 ing. These lines combine resistance to Al toxicity, good  
9 agronomic characteristics, a broader spectrum of disease resis-  
10 tance, and high yield potential. This paper summarizes the  
11 CIMMYT-BRAZILIAN cooperative breeding program, the screening  
12 procedures employed, and the results so far obtained.

13 Laboratory screening procedure used to identify varieties and  
14 segregating generations with tolerance to Al toxicity.

15 The screening procedure (Polle et al., 1978) adopted at  
16 CIMMYT for detecting tolerance to soluble Al in wheat is based  
17 upon the visual estimation of the extent of hematoxylin stain-  
18 ing of seedling roots following exposure to several levels of  
19 Al concentration.

20 The procedure employed consists of the following steps:

- 21 1) Expose seeds for 24 hours in aerated distilled water under  
22 light at 25°C to induce germination;
- 23 2) Transfer and expose germinated seedlings to aerated nutri-  
24 ent solution buffered at pH 4.0 under light at 25°C for 32  
25 hours;
- 26 3) Transfer and expose seedlings to nutrient solution contain-  
27 ing Al buffered at pH 4.0 under light at 25°C for

- 1 approximately 17 hours (overnight);
- 2 4) Transfer seedlings to aerated distilled water for 30-60
- 3 minutes to remove Al;
- 4 5) Transfer and expose seedlings to hematoxylin solution for
- 5 15 minutes;
- 6 6) Remove seedlings and wash roots in distilled water for 1
- 7 minute;
- 8 7) Remove and place seedling roots in aerated distilled water
- 9 for 3 hours;
- 10 8) Remove seedling roots to observe differential staining in
- 11 root tips, and on the basis of these observations, classi-
- 12 fy varieties and segregating populations into three catego-
- 13 ries: susceptible, moderately resistant, and resistant.

14 In conducting the screening tests, two varieties are used

15 as standard checks. One is Maringa, a Brazilian variety known

16 to be highly resistant to Al toxicity under field conditions,

17 and the second is Jupateco 73, a CIMMYT variety known to be

18 highly susceptible to Al toxicity.

19 Even though CIMMYT has been primarily concerned with eval-

20 uating and screening wheat and triticale varieties for toler-

21 ance to Al, we have been recently exploring in a preliminary

22 way the reaction of other crop species, such as, rye, barley,

23 sorghum, and millet to variable concentrations of Al. The

24 concentrations used in these tests, together with the reaction

25 of the different crop plant varieties, are shown in Table 1.

26 Cultivars of rye and triticale are resistant to a concen-

27 tration of 2.3 mM of Al, while all other crops reported here

1 are susceptible to that concentration. The only exception to  
2 this is one variety of Pearl millet C; which is moderately  
3 resistant to 2.40 mM of Al. Two cultivars of barley, and four  
4 cultivars of sorghum are susceptible to concentrations of 0.67  
5 mM and 1.40 mM of Al, respectively. Among the wheat culti-  
6 vars, two durums are susceptible, while bread wheats show  
7 differential reactions to the concentrations of 1.4 mM and 1.9  
8 mM, and are uniformly susceptible to 2.3 mM of Al. It is ob-  
9 vious that cultivars of rye, triticale, and pearl millet C  
10 should have better performance in Al toxic acid soils.

11 The use of cooperative shuttle - breeding programs between  
12 Mexico and Brazil for the development of aluminum tolerant,  
13 disease resistant, high-yielding varieties adapted to acid  
14 soils.

15 Most Brazilian wheat varieties tend to grow tall and  
16 lodge, as well as produce spikes with only two or (rarely)  
17 three grains per spikelet. These two defects together largely  
18 account for their low genetic yield potential.

19 The CIMMYT wheat breeding program has attempted, during  
20 the last seven years, to breed high-yielding, semi-dwarf,  
21 broadly adapted varieties with high levels of tolerance to  
22 soluble aluminum. This project has evolved into a cooperative  
23 effort between CIMMYT and three Brazilian wheat programs: (1)  
24 EMBRAPA at the National Wheat Research Center at Passo Fundo,  
25 (2) FECOTRIGO at Cruz Alta (Passo Fundo and Cruz Alta are  
26 located in the State of Rio Grande do Sul), and (3) OCEPAR at  
27 Cascavel (located in the State of Paraná). Crosses between Al

1 tolerant Brazilian varieties and the broadly adapted, high-  
2 yielding, semi-dwarf CIMMYT varieties (Table 2) are conducted  
3 and selections are made in segregating generations at two  
4 locations in Mexico: 1) the CIANO Research Center, Ciudad  
5 Obregon, Sonora, located at 28°N latitude and 40 meters above  
6 sea level, and 2) the CIMMYT Research Center at Toluca, State  
7 of Mexico, at 19°N latitude and an elevation, 2,560 meters.

8 The broad adaptation combined with the high genetic yield  
9 potential and the broad spectrum of rust resistance of the  
10 CIMMYT semi-dwarf wheat varieties triggered the so-called  
11 "Green Revolution", which began in Mexico and subsequently  
12 spread to many other parts of the world. In large part, the  
13 wide adaptation and broad spectrum of disease resistance of  
14 the CIMMYT varieties is attributable to the shuttling of genet-  
15 ic materials in alternate segregating generations between the  
16 two aforementioned Mexican States, which are very diverse in  
17 climatic and ecological conditions. In Ciudad Obregon, the  
18 plantings are made in November when days are growing shorter,  
19 whereas the plantings in Toluca are made in May when the days  
20 are getting longer. Consequently, the shuttling of plants from  
21 alternate generations at these two locations made possible the  
22 identification of photo insensitive lines.

23 CIMMYT efforts to develop high-yielding aluminum tolerant  
24 varieties has serious obstacles to overcome. There are no suit-  
25 able and readily accessible locations in Mexico for establish-  
26 ing breeding nurseries on soils with high levels of acidity  
27 and soluble Al. Consequently, CIMMYT has been forced to rely ✓

1 heavily on the laboratory screening test described earlier for  
2 identifying progenitors and progenies with good levels of to-  
3 lerance to Al toxicity. When the results from the laboratory  
4 screening tests are combined with the cooperative shuttle  
5 breeding effort, the results have been very positive. Promis-  
6 ing segregating materials identified in the laboratory are  
7 selected and grown under three different acid soil conditions  
8 in Brazil, and at the two non-acidic sites at Toluca and  
9 Ciudad Obregon, Mexico. Response information is then oppor-  
10 tunely transmitted to all collaborators by telex. Data on  
11 laboratory responses and field performance under extremely  
12 acid soil conditions are highly correlated and complement one  
13 another.

14 Four-hundred and twelve advanced generation lines of  
15 bread wheat were produced by the shuttle program by the end of  
16 1980. These lines have combined the Al tolerance of Brazilian  
17 wheats with the high yield potential of CIMMYT semi-dwarf  
18 wheats. Small samples of these varieties were distributed in  
19 Cameroon, Ethiopia, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, Tanzania,  
20 Zambia, India, Brazil, Ecuador, Guyana, and Paraguay; where  
21 acidity poses problems in wheat production. Preliminary screen-  
22 ing results from Brazil, Ecuador, Zambia, and Kenya indicate  
23 that the performance of these lines is superior with respect  
24 to Al tolerance. A considerable number of these lines also ap-  
25 pear to possess an unusually broad spectrum of resistance to a  
26 complex of leaf diseases, including several species of *Fusarium*,  
27 *Helminthosporium* and *Septoria*. We believe that this combination

1 of resistance to Al toxicity in high-yielding semi-dwarf wheat may  
2 further broaden the adaptation of CIMMYT wheats to problem soils.

### 3 Alondra - an exception

4 Alondra is a semi-dwarf high-yielding variety moderately  
5 tolerant to Al, and is derived from the cross D6301-Nainari 60  
6 x Weique - Red Mace x Ciano<sup>2</sup>-Chris, (CM11683). Alondra's  
7 tolerance to Al is believed to be inherited from the rye var-  
8 iety Weique. In Alondra, the chromosome 1B is substituted by  
9 1R (Mujeeb, Personal Communication). This variety shows a  
10 moderate level of Al tolerance in Brazilian acid soils, but a  
11 completely susceptible reaction to Al toxicity in our labora-  
12 tory tests. Tests conducted at Washington State University  
13 have shown that the Al tolerance of Alondra is due to its  
14 ability to extract and utilize phosphorus under a low level  
15 of availability, rather than resistance to Al toxicity per se  
16 (Konzak, Personal Communication). This being true, a breeding  
17 system can be developed in which the resistance to Al toxicity  
18 of Brazilian varieties could be combined with the efficiency  
19 of Alondra to extract and utilize phosphorus (which is a  
20 limiting factor in acid soils).

### 21 Triticale - an alternative crop for acid soils

22 It has become evident in recent years, that triticales  
23 are relatively better adapted than wheats in acid soils with  
24 high levels of soluble Al (Table 1). Under these soil condi-  
25 tions, triticales will out-yield wheats, including the Al  
26 tolerant Brazilian varieties, by from 50 to 100 percent. If  
27 the grain quality (plumpness) can be improved and combined by



1 breeding with earlier maturity, triticale may become an  
2 important commercial crop in some problem acid soils where  
3 wheats develop poorly.

4 Varieties of crop plants with tolerance to aluminum toxicities  
5 and other mineral stresses are not an elixir for solving food  
6 production problems.

7       Considerable progress has already been made in breeding  
8 wheat varieties with higher levels of tolerance to aluminum  
9 toxicity and (in other cases) the ability to extract essen-  
10 tial plant nutrients from soils of low fertility. This does  
11 not imply, however, that we can anticipate harvesting high  
12 grain yields without employing good agronomic and fertiliza-  
13 tion practices so that essential plant nutrients are restored  
14 to levels which permit the economic production of reasonable  
15 yields of grain. What these new developments do imply is  
16 that if dynamic breeding programs are continued, it may be  
17 possible to breed better varieties that have the genetic  
18 potential to produce acceptable but modest levels of grain  
19 yield with lower levels of fertilizer application (i.e. N,P,  
20 K,Ca,Mg, S or minor nutrients).

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

Table 1. Reaction of crop plant varieties to three levels of soluble Aluminum.

CROP	VARIETY	mM of Al.		
		1.4	1.9	2.3
Bread Wheat:	Maringa	R	R	S
	CNT 8	S	S	S
	Alondra S 16	S	S	S
	Pavón 76	R	S	S
	Jupateco 73	S	S	S
Durum Wheat:	Yavaros 79	S	S	S
	Mexicali 75	S	S	S
Rye:	Snoopy	R	R	R
	Prolific	R	R	R
	Blanco	R	R	R
Triticale:	Cananea 79	R	R	R
	Caborca 79	R	R	R
		mM of Al.		
		0.30	0.50	0.67
Barley:	Cerro Prieto	R	MR	S
	Apizaco	R	MR	S
		mM of Al.		
		0.60	1.00	1.40
Sorghum:	PR 81A-F <sub>3</sub> B	R	MR	S
	BTP - 144	R	S	S
	A.A.S.	R	S	S
	Cold Tolerant	R	S	S
		mM of Al.		
		1.60	2.00	2.40
Millet: Proso Millet	B80-29	S	S	S
	B80-44	MS	MS	S
Foxtail millet	# 58	R	S	S
	# 445	R	S	S
	Pearl Millet C	R	MR	MR

R= Resistant  
 MR= Moderately Resistant  
 S= Susceptible

Table 2. List of Brazilian Al toxicity resistant varieties and CIMMYT semi-dwarf high-yielding wheats used in breeding at CIMMYT

Al Toxicity Resistant Varieties	Semi-dwarf high yielding CIMMYT Lines
Pel 72018, S12-B8 x Pj, Pat 8 B15, Maringa, Cinquentenario, PAT 19, IAS 54, IAS 63, PF 70354, IAS 58, IAS 62, CNT 7, PF 72640, PAT739, Horto, PAT 49, Pel 72380- Atr 70, PAT 10, Abura, Jacui, PF 70402, PAT 72160, Lagoa Vermelha, CEP 74230	Pavon 76, Chuckar "S". Aldan"S", Kalyan-Blue bird, Bananaquit, Siskin, Musala, Alondra"S", Emu, Madeira"S", HD2182

## REFERENCES

1. Adams, F., and Z.F. Lund. 1966. Effects of chemical activity of soil solution Al on cotton root penetration of acid subsoils. *Soil Sci.* 101:193-198.
2. Beckman, I. 1976. Cultivation and breeding of wheat in the south of Brazil. P. 409-416. In M.J. Wright (ed) Proceedings of workshop on plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soils. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York.
3. Camargo, C.E.O., W.E. Kronstad, and R.J. Metzger. 1980. Parent-progeny regression estimates and associations of height level with aluminum toxicity and grain yield in wheat. *Crop Sci.* 20: 355-358.
4. Clarkson, D.T. 1965. The effects of aluminum and some other trivalent cations on cell division in root apices of Allium cepa. *Ann. Bot. (London) N.S.* 29:309-315.
5. Clarkson, D.T. 1968. Metabolic aspects of aluminum toxicity and some possible mechanism for resistance. *Brit. Ecol. Soc. Symp.* 9:381-397.
6. Da Silva, A.R. 1976. Application of the genetic approach to wheat culture in Brazil. p. 223-231. In M.J. Wright (ed) Proceedings of workshop on plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soils. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York.
7. Duval, R. 1976. Inventory of the major soils of the world with special reference to mineral stress hazards. p. 3-13. In M.J. Wright (ed) Proceedings of workshop on plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soils. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York.
8. Foy, C.D. 1973. Manganese and plants. p. 51-76. In Manganese, *Natl. Acad. Sci., Natl. Res. Council*, 2101 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20418.
9. Foy, C.D. 1974a. Effects of aluminum on plant growth. P. 601-642. In E.W. Carson (ed) *the plant root and its environment*. Univ. Press of Va., Box 3608, Univ. Station, Charlottesville, Va.
10. Henning, S.J. 1975. Aluminum toxicity in the primary meristem of wheat roots. Ph. D. thesis. Oregon State University Corvalli, (Diss. Abstr. 35 (12):5728-B).
11. Hester, J.B. 1935. The amphoteric nature of three coastal plain soils. I. In relation to plant growth. *Soil Sci.* 39:237-243.

12. Kamprath, E.J., and C.D. Foy. 1971. Lime-fertilizer-plant interaction in acid soils. p. 105-151. In R.A. Olson (ed) Fertilizer Technology and use, 2nd ed. Soil Scie. Soc. Am., Madison, WI.
13. Kerridge, P.C., M.D. Dawson and D.P. Moore. 1971. Separation of degrees of aluminum tolerance in wheat. Agronomy Journal 63: 586-590.
14. Konzak, C.F., E. Polle, and J.A. Kittrick. 1976. Screening several crops for aluminum tolerance. p. 311-327. In M.J. Wright (ed). Proceedings of workshop on plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soil. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station Ithaca, New York.
15. McLean, E.O. 1976. Chemistry of soil aluminum. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Annal. 7:619-636.
16. Moore, D.P., W.E. Kronstad, and R.J. Metzger. 1976. Screening wheat for aluminum tolerance. p. 287-295. In M.J. Wright (ed) Proceedings of workshop on plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soil. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York.
17. Olmos, I.L., J., and M.N. Camargo, 1976. Incidence of aluminum toxicity in Brazilian soils: its characterization and distribution. Ciencia e Cultura 28:171-180.
18. Polle, E., C.F. Konzak, and J.A. Kittrick. 1978. Rapid screening of wheat for tolerance to aluminum in breeding varieties better adapted to acid soils. Technical series bulletin No. 21. Office of Agriculture, Development Support Bureau, Agency for International Development. Washington, D.C. 20523.
19. Van Wambeke, A. 1976. Formation, distribution and consequences of acid soils in agricultural development. p. 15-24. In M.J. Wright (ed) Proceedings of workshop in plant adaptation to mineral stress in problem soils. Beltsville, Maryland. November 22-23, 1976. Cornell University, Agricultural Experiment Station, Ithaca, New York.



\*636640\*