

## **CHARACTERIZING *FUSARIUM GRAMINEARUM* RESISTANCE OF CIMMYT BREAD WHEAT GERmplasm**

L. GILCHRIST, S. RAJARAM, M. VAN GINKEL, M. KAZI AND J. FRANCO.  
CIMMYT Apdo. Postal 6-641, CP 06600 Mexico, D.F.

### **Introduction**

Fusarium head scab head of small grain cereals is a severe disease in warmer and humid areas of the world. Developing of resistant germplasm is a big challenge for developing countries in regions such as South America (Brazil, Argentina, Uruguay and Paraguay), Asia (Iran and China) and Africa (Ethiopia), where farmers produce grain for food and feed without controlling the level of toxin in the grains.

The CIMMYT Wheat Program has generated information on scab resistance related to the fungal penetration and spread mechanism of a large number of lines. Preliminary data has also been generated on the toxin degradation mechanism in some of this germplasm. Much work remains to be done to accumulate genes and improve total resistance.

### **Materials and Methods**

Evaluation of resistance to fusarium head scab under artificial condition is carried out in CIMMYT at the Atizapan research station in Toluca, Mexico. Every year, segregating populations (about 7000 lines) from the traditional breeding and wide cross programs are screened; testing is carried out for resistance to fungal spread (mechanism II) (Schroeder and Christensen, 1963; Miller et al., 1985). For this purpose, a concentration of 50,000 spores per ml is applied during the inoculation period. Isolates of the fungi are increased in Mung Beans Medium (MBM) during five days. This medium broth inoculum generates significantly more disease and yields higher DON values than other inoculum methods (Dill-Macky, 1996). After five days of growing in MBM, the inoculum concentration is adjusted and applied to the plants using the cotton method. Each spike is marked when the anthers start to be visible in the center of the spike. A small piece of cotton soaked with the inoculum is introduced with a forceps between the lemma and the palea of a spikelet. Five spikes are inoculated per entry. After inoculation the spikes are covered with a glassine bag and evaluated 30 to 40 days after inoculation at physiological maturity. In 1996, we evaluated bread wheat lines for resistance to penetration (mechanism I) and toxin degradation (mechanism III). Each plot was also sprayed with a suspension of spores (50,000 p/ml). Thirty spikes were randomly selected and marked in initial flowering for inoculation and evaluation. Additionally, 15 spikes were inoculated with the cotton method to test resistance to spread. Mist irrigation was provided on dry days. An additional plot of each entry was inoculated with a spore suspension simulating natural infection conditions. These plots were harvested and a sample of 50-g of each of the best lines showing resistance mechanism I and II were sent for DON toxin analysis to the Technological Laboratory of Uruguay (LATU).

Seed was visually evaluated, using 1 to 5 scale, 1 being the best 5 being severely shriveled seed.

A intermediate susceptible variety Flycatcher, and two resistant varieties Frontana and Chinese were used as checks. The germplasm was separated into 10 groups by maturity and inoculation was carried out according to this characteristic. The data are reported as percent of healthy spikelets. Spikes were considered replications. The proportion of healthy spikelets (P) was analyzed using the Generalized Lines Model:

$$P = \text{O} + \text{Entry} + \text{E}$$

where the error,  $E$ , is assumed as a binomial random variable. Individual comparisons between entries were done using contrasts. We used the PROC CATMOD from SAS (1985).

### Results and Discussion

The majority of lines tested were statistically different and superior to the susceptible check, in regards to mechanisms I and II for resistance. Many lines were not different from the resistant checks and a small number were statistically superior to the resistant checks. This latter superior group including parents and advanced lines is presented in Tables 1 and 2. Grain filling is reported for some lines. Even though this information is preliminary, it is nonetheless very useful to the breeding program. Preliminary data from Minnesota suggested that grain filling is related to toxin concentration (Hong Ma, personal communication, 1996). Hong suggested that a different mechanism of resistance may be interfering with this physiological process. We found a wide range in the ability to grain fill in the preliminary evaluations and no clear relationship with toxin concentration. A clear example of this reaction was evident in the Catbird lines, giving a range from 1 to 4 (Table 2).

### References

- Dill Macky, R. 1996. Inoculation methods of *Fusarium graminearum* on wheat and barley. Proceedings of the 1996 Regional Fusarium/Scab Forum. October, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.
- Miller, J.D., Young, J.C., and Sampson, D.R. 1985. Deoxynivalenol and Fusarium head blight resistance in spring cereals. *J. Phytopathol.* 113:359-367.
- Shroeder, H.W., and Christensen, J.J. 1963. Factors affecting resistance of wheat to scab caused by *Gibberella zeae*. *Phytopathology* 53:831-838.
- SAS Institute Inc. SAS. User's Guide: Statistics, Version. 5 Edition. Cary, NC. SAS Institute Inc., 1985. 956 pp.

Table 1. Characterization of Fusarium head scab resistance of the best parents used in read wheat lines in relation to penetration, spread, toxin content and grain filling after artificial inoculation at Atizapan, Toluca, Mexico, 1996.

| Line and Pedigree          | % Healthy spikelets |        | DON(ppb) | Grain filling | Days to Flowering |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|---------------|-------------------|
|                            | Penetration         | Spread |          |               |                   |
| NOBOKABOZU KOMUGI          | 92                  | 98     | ---      | ---           | 86                |
| PL 33 0JPN-2SCM            | 88                  | 92     | 149      | 1             | 86                |
| SODAT/SUM3//NG8201/        | 88                  | 84     | ---      | 3             | 90                |
| ZUO1331 5SCM               | 86                  | 90     | ---      | 3             | 90                |
| ZM23524 6SCM               | 93                  | 81     | 43       | ---           | 91                |
| BEKELE.100,244             | 90                  | 92     | ND       | 3             | 93                |
| 1 FUS-0Y-3SCM              |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| NG894037 0CHN-2SCN         | 89                  | 95     | ND       | 1             | 93                |
| RECURRENT SELECTION 5      | 92                  | 98     | ---      | 2             | 111               |
| FRONTANA (Resist Check)    | 93                  | 87     | 106      | ---           | 104               |
| CHINESE (Resist Check)     | 90                  | 94     | ND       | ---           | 104               |
| FLYCATHER ( Suscept Check) | 82                  | 52     | 106      | ---           | 79                |

ND= no toxin detected (the limit of method detection is 40 ppb). Toxin checks: high 3446 to 1723 ppb; intermediate 1077 to 862 ppb; and low 43 to 40.

Grain filling 1=very good, 5=poor.

Table 2. Characterization of Fusarium head scab resistance of the best advanced lines obtained in the wheat program related to penetration, spread, toxin content and grain filling after artificial inoculation at Atizapan, Toluca ; Mexico, 1996.

| Line and Pedigree                 | % Healthy spikelets |        | DON(ppb) | Grain filling | Days to Flowering |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------|----------|---------------|-------------------|
|                                   | Penetration         | Spread |          |               |                   |
| <b>ZUO1330</b>                    | 84                  | 94     | ND       | 2             | 79                |
| -2SCM-0CHN-015Y-1SCM              |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>CBRD/5/CSTH.CU//GLEN/3/</b>    | 82                  | 84     | ND       | 2             | 79                |
| GEN/4/L2266/1406.101//BUC/3/      |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| VPM/MOS 83.11.4. //NAC            |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| CMBW91MO1989S-0M-040Y-            |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| 015M-0Y-41M-0Y-1SCM               |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>SHA4/CHIL</b>                  | 99                  | 99     | 234      | 2             | 83                |
| CM91099-25Y-0M-3N-010M-0Y         |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -3M-010Y-0FUS-1FUS-2SCM           |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>SHA5/WEAVER</b>                | 91                  | 90     | 43       | 1             | 86                |
| CM95103-25Y-0M-0Y-3M-ORES         |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -1M-0Y-3M-010Y-0M-2PZ-0Y          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -2SCM-0Y                          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>CATBIRD</b>                    | 89                  | 85     | ND       | 1             | 90                |
| CM91045-502M-0M-5SJ-0Y-2SCM       |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -0Y-3SCM                          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>CATBIRD</b>                    | 87                  | 77     | 40       | 1             | 90                |
| CM91045-5Y-0M-0Y-4M-4Y-2M         |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -0M-4M-0Y-2SCM                    |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>CLLF/PCHU//SEL.P.101/BOGAF</b> | 86                  | 86     | ND       | 3             | 90                |
| /3/-3SCM-0CHN-015Y-1SCM           |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>NG8675/CBRD</b>                | 87                  | 95     | ---      | 3             | 91                |
| CMSS92Y00639S-5-5SCM              |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>MILAN/SHA7</b>                 | 90                  | 86     | 43       | 3             | 91                |
| CM97550-0M-2Y-030H-3Y-3Y-0Y       |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| 3M-010Y-0FUS-1FUS-3SCM            |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>CATBIRD</b>                    | 87                  | 89     | ---      | 4             | 93                |
| CM91045-9Y-0M-0Y-5M-4Y            |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -0B-5PTZ-0Y-2PZ-010Y-0M-          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| 3SJ-0Y-2SCM                       |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>THB/CEP7780//SUZ9/WEAVER</b>   | 90                  | 88     | 106      | 2             | 93                |
| /3/NG8675 CMSS92Y02302T-2-2SCM-   |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| 0CHN-015Y-3SCM                    |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>NG8675/CBRD</b>                | 91                  | 90     | 426      | 1             | 93                |
| CMSS92Y00639S-1-5SCM              |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>MILAN</b>                      | 90                  | 68     | ND       | 3             | 93                |
| CM75133-B-5M-1Y-05M-2Y-3B         |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| -0Y-3SCM                          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>ALTAR 84/AE. SQUAROSA</b>      | 85                  | 67     | 40       | --            | 97                |
| (221)/3*BORL95                    |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| CIGM90.248-1Y-2B-11Y-0B-          |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| 1M-22M-0Y-3SCM                    |                     |        |          |               |                   |
| <b>FRONTANA (Check)</b>           | 93                  | 87     | 106      | ---           | 104               |
| <b>CHINESE (Check)</b>            | 90                  | 94     | ND       | ---           | 104               |
| <b>FLYCATHER (Check)</b>          | 82                  | 52     | 106      | ---           | 79                |

ND= no toxin detected (the limit of method detection is 40 ppb) ). Toxin checks: high 3446 to 1723 ppb the; intermediate 1077 to 862 ppb and low 43 to 40 ppb. Grain filling: 1=very good, 5=poor.