# DRAFT -- DO NOT CITE OR DISTRIBUTE June 15, 1991

# Characterizing Maize Production Environments in Nepal A Synopsis of Proposed Research<sup>1</sup>

#### 1 Introduction

CIMMYT's recently adopted strategic plan calls for an increased emphasis on research, particularly strategic research on crop search? (CIMMYT, 1989). Expanded strategic research on crop management is a part of this new direction. To help set priorities for strategic research activities, an improved understanding is needed of the environments around the world in which CIMMYT's mandate crops are produced (Edmeades, 1989). Information on maize and wheat production environments also has obvious utility for NARS.

Some of the needed information may be obtained from published sources (e.g., De Leon, at al, 1990). However, available data may often suffer from severe problems of reliability (e.g., Balungon, forthcoming). In addition, much of

<sup>1.</sup> Developed by L. Harrington and M. Read (CIMMYT), K. Adhikary (NARC) and H. Gurung (LAC).

<sup>2.</sup> Strategic research is defined as research on problems that cross national boundaries, the output of which may be principles of crop production, generalizable input-output relationships, or research methods.

the required information is often simply unavailable -- especially at the level of the production environment -- and must be collected or estimated.

# 2 Objectives

A study is proposed that would feature the collaboration of CIMMYT, NARC, the Lumle Agricultural Center, and the National Maize Research Center (Rampur). The proposed study (described below) aims to define and characterize major maize producing environments in Nepal. Moreover, it aims to do this at the national level and achieve acceptable levels of quantification and precision while using low-cost methods of data collection. It should be possible to achieve all of these apparently conflicting objectives (low cost, acceptable precision, some quantification, systematic and consistent national coverage) by means of a properly designed survey, using extension workers as key informants.

The output of the proposed survey will be comparisons of maize production practices and problems, and the place of maize in the farming system (including issues of utilization) over environments. By means of this survey, it should be possible to obtain estimates of national maize area by production environment, and ascertain ways in which environments are similar and ways in which they are different.

Key informant surveys are commonly used in Nepal, e.g., Upadhyaya, 1990; Chand and Gibbon, 1989. A key informant survey with national coverage, as proposed here, falls somewhere between an exploratory survey<sup>1</sup>, a formal farm survey, and a national census. Like a census, it is intended to provide systematic national coverage. Like a formal farm survey, it will use random sampling techniques and a thoroughly tested questionnaire implemented by trained enumerators. Like an exploratory survey, it will focus on expert opinions — especially regarding complex interactions — offered by those in the best position to provide them. Unlike an exploratory survey, however, it will go far beyond describing and analyzing conditions found in only one small site.

Efforts will be made to control measurement error as well as sampling error. Group interview techniques will be used to elicit consensus estimates at the Ilaka level. Questions with obvious possibilities of enumerator bias (e.g., breakdowns of farmer use of improved varieties, fertilizer application rates, other questions where official recommendations might be viewed as "threatened") will be avoided. Rather, questions will tend to focus on "non-threatening" themes best addressed

There are many terms for "exploratory surveys", including "sondeo", "rapid site description", "RRA", "joint trek", "samuhik brahman", "informal surveys", etc.

by respondents with broad experience at the Ilaka level. Care will be taken to avoid presenting results at a greater level of precision than supported by the underlying data.

# 4 Sampling and Data Collection

Maize growing areas in Nepal may be distinguished by Development Region (5) and elevation (3 levels). In the proposed survey, one or two of the largest maize growing Districts will be selected within each of the resulting 15 (5x3) Development Region /elevation groupings.

Within each selected District, interviews will be conducted with key informants from all (usually 9) Ilaka. Interviews will be conducted Ilaka by Ilaka, not globally at the District level. Informants will be asked questions about maize environments, maize production practices and problems, maize utilization (including byproducts) and the place of maize in the farming system (see draft questionnaire).

Key informants will be composed largely of extension staff, including JT, JTA and AA. Other key informants may be interviewed as needed. A group interview of 4-6 of these extension staff will be held (normally at the District extension office) for each Ilaka.

Ilaka-level data will be aggregated to the District level (weighted by maize area within the Ilaka). District-level

data will be extrapolated to the national level (again, weighted by maize area). Where appropriate, results will be broken down by maize production environment, aggregated over Districts.

#### 5 Environments

The following have been tentatively selected as major maize producing environments in Nepal. Survey results should allow comparisons of maize practices and problems, and the place of maize in farming systems, among and between environments. Note that environments 8 and 9 are expected to be by far the largest in the country.

| No.                             | Elevation  | Season  | Land<br>Type   | Access-<br>ability                                    |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| 2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | Terai/ Inner Terai Terai/ Inner Terai Terai/ Inner Terai Foothill/ Valley 7/ Foothill/ Valley Foothill/ Valley Midhills 8/ Midhills Upper hills 9/ | Winter 1/ Spring 4/ Summer 5/ Winter Spring Summer Spring Summer Summer | Khet 2/<br>Khet<br>Bari 6/<br>Bari<br>Khet<br>Bari<br>Khet<br>Bari<br>Bari<br>Bari | Good 3/ Good Good Good Good/ poor Good Good Poor Poor |
| 1.0                             | upper nilis 3/   | nammar  | pari   | rour  |

<sup>1/</sup> Rabi or cool season planting, usually with irrigation

<sup>2/</sup> Bunded area planted to flooded rice during the cropping pattern

<sup>3/</sup> Within a one day walk (round trip) to an input supply point

<sup>4/</sup> Pre-rainy season planting, usually with irrigation (?)

<sup>5/</sup> Rainy season planting. Exact dates depend on altitude

<sup>6/</sup> Unbunded land not used for flooded rice in the cropping pattern

<sup>7/</sup> Above the terai, but below below 1000 masl

B/1000 - 2000 masl

<sup>9/</sup> Above 2000 mas1

### 6 Tentative Plans/ Calendar

- Review of secondary data on agroecological zones in Nepal,
   focusing on those of possible relevance to maize.
- Review of secondary data on maize research in Nepal, stratified where possible by production environment. This should include information from FSR programs, e.g, exploratory survey reports.
- Review of policies, including those affecting input supply and markets, relevant to maize in Nepal.
- Pre-test questionnaire and train enumerators.
- Field test the proposed key informant survey method in one or two districts and make a final check of its feasibility.
  (This will require a test of analytical procedures and cross-checks on data quality.)
- \_ Proceed with enumeration, editing, coding and analysis.
- Calendar: should begin in October 1991 and be finished by June 1992.

## References

- Balogun, P., (in preparation). "A review of published agricultural statistics in the Western Development Region of Nepal". Technical Paper No. 89. Lumle Agricultural Centre.
- Chand, S. and D. Gibbon, 1989. "Samuhik Brahman: A Rapid and Appropriate Method of Prioritising and Replanning Agricultural Research in Nepal". Presented at the International FSRE Symposium, Fayetteville, Arkansas, October, 1989.
- CIMMYT, 1989. "Toward the 21st Century: Strategic Issues and the Operational Strategies of CIMMYT". Mexico, DF: CIMMYT.
- De Leon, C., G. Granados and M. Read, 1990. Proceedings of the Fourth Asian Regional Maize Workshop, Pakistan, September 21-28, 1990. Bangkok: CIMMYT.
- Edmeades, G., 1989. "Agronomy Research in CIMMYT's Maize Program". Unpublished discussion paper.
- Upadhyaya, H., 1990" "An Initial Site Description Survey Report Ifor Rampur Agriculture Station's Research Outreach Command Areas". SERED Report No. 29. Kathmandw: NARC.

| MAIZE PRODUCTION                          | ENVIRONMENTS QUES                     | TIONNAIRE: I  | DISTRICT LEVEL                         |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| District                                  | Date                                  | anis alah gasa tang gina disas milih kulo nibu sajar<br>Sasa giban dibiri piran sasai alam ataip iribi, sasar milih dinip sa  | der havel, grade grade milde           |
| 1. What is total                          | cultivated area i                     | n this distr  |  |
| 2. What is the to                         | tal population in                     | this distri   | lct?                                   |
| 3. What is average                        | e farm size in th                     | is district?  | ) ha                                   |
| 4. What are the ma                        | ajor crops grown,                     | and the are   | ea for each?                           |
|   | 3                                     | hahahahaha  |  |
| 5. Estimate maize production for the      | yield, harvested<br>e district.       | area and to   | otal                                   |
|   | Yield<br>Harvested area<br>Production | kg/ha   | na                                     |
| 6. What is the %                          | of maize area four                    | nd in each 1  | llaka?                                 |
| Ilaka No.                                 | % maize area                          | OR Actual a   | rea (ha)                               |
| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9 | x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x | <ul> <li>April control strate spain, quive valve subus strate pates state</li> <li>April control strate state, quive surve subus state</li> <li>April control strate state</li> <li>April control strate</li> <li>April control</li></ul> | ha<br>ha<br>ha<br>ha<br>ha<br>ha<br>ha |
| TOTAL                                     | 100%                                  |   | .ha                                    |

| QUESTIONNAIRE, BY MAIZE PRODUCTION ENVIRONMENT: ILAKA LEVEL                                   |
|---|
| District Ilaka No. Enumerator   |
| Date  |
| GENERAL QUESTIONS (FOR ALL ENVIRONMENTS)  |
| 1 Estimate the total cultivated area for this ilakaha   |
| 2 Estimate the % of total cultivated area in this ilaka planted to maize%                     |
| OR  |
| Estimate the % of total maize area in the district found in this Ilaka.                       |
| OR  |
| actual maize area in this Ilaka?ha  |
| 3 What is the % of TOTAL MAIZE AREA found in each of the following, in this 11aka?            |
| terai/inner terai   |
| foothill valley (< 1000 masl)   |
| 4 For TERAI AND INNER TERAI only, what is the % of maize area found in each of the following: |
| winter% spring%   |
| summer % TOTAL 100%   |
| 5 For FOOTHILL VALLEY only, what is the % of maize area found in each of the following:       |
| winter  |
| summer 70TAL 100%   |

|  | of the following   |   | maize area  |  |             |
|--|--|---|---|--|-------------|
|  | spring<br>summer<br>TOTAL  | 100\$   | X<br>X  |  |             |
|  | LS SUMMER MAIZE<br>found in each   |   |   |  |             |
|  | accessible are inaccessible a TOTAL  | reas  | x<br>x  |  |             |
|  | ble" means more<br>ut store/ marke   |   | ormal day's   | round trip to th   | ne          |
| maize product  | he information ion environment s at least 100  | . Take fur  | ther data of  | ctual area for ea<br>nly for environme                                     | ach<br>ents |
| 2 ha 3 ha 4 ha 5 ha 6 ha 7 ha 8 ha 9 ha                    | TW Winter mai<br>TSp Spring mai<br>TSu Summer mai<br>FW Winter mai<br>FSp Spring mai<br>FSu Summer mai<br>MSp Spring mai<br>MSuAC Summer m<br>MSuNA Summer m<br>HHSu Summer ma | ze in terai ze in terai ze in footh ze in footh ze in footh ze in midhi aize in mid aize in mid | (khet) (bari) ill valley ill valley ill valley lls (khet) thills (accei thills (not | (khet)<br>(bari)<br>ssible) (bari)<br>accessible) (bari                    | 1)          |
| selected envi  |  | Environme   | nts (Write :  |  |             |
|  | will die der der den jer der der der der der der der der der d   | : :   | :   | nd tille man and and rise den spe beg sed and and any file nor<br>\$<br>\$ |             |
| Yield kg/ha<br>Harvested are                               |  |   | And discovered the part of \$ 100,000   | to the two and the two                 |             |
|  | e, has maize ar<br>remained stable<br>environment  |   |   |  |             |
| ner ton der som mer mer sin ern på det på det film tyr ser |  | Environme   | nts (Write  | in numbers)  |             |
| quil diagratio see age spo this type too, see dot inp the  | على جارة مرية مرية مرية وجود مست علية وجود مرية وجود مرية الم  |   |   | \$<br>   |             |
| Increased<br>Decreased                                     |  |   |   |  |             |
| Stable   |  |   |   |  |             |
|  |  |   |   |  |             |

| 11 IF MAIZE AR might be some r answer.)  | easons for t   | his? (Sp  | ecify env   | ironment   | in the  |   |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| 12<br>Have maize yiel<br>last five years   |  |   |   |  | able over the   | · |
|  | dags water water steps didn't had have glar view now soon soon   | Envir   | onments (   | Write in   | numbers)  |   |
|  |  | :   | :   | :  | :   |   |
| Increased<br>Decreased<br>Stable   | ven van van van van van de ste dak van met een van   | !   |   |  | at spen glan sken sken gare den syn sken sken sken sken sken sken sken ske  |   |
| 13 IF MAIZE YIELDS might be some r answer.)  QUESTIONS ON FA  14 List the ma (Include monocr terns per envir | RMING SYSTEM jor maize-ba opped maize  | his? (Spo   | ping patt   | erns for   | each environm   |   |
| Environment  |  |   |   |  |   |   |
| 1:   | The first below that the plan had been super sub- over some the beautiful that the beauti | after print year party and party orthogoner   | and have sayin some name three point layer from   |  | ili dilay midd spill siftiy milk danasana<br>Al dilay 1984 yang milay bad salah dan   |   |
| 2  |  |   |   |  | ng digwirefferendan segan gann blein wegl<br>Millerholden-aller sicher Stan under genag   |   |
| 3  | alter solve office found gods more unjox values storm shape capts.  Self-ready native storm from their black storm shape storm | was now one out that the day of the the two of the the two of the | alle away ayela maka galle nglu dinan guru galle<br>galle dalap dalah dinal alleg galle samb yang dina<br>nanonin salah dilah mula galle samb yang dina | s way gone eath neath duny pour tods with old<br>s print finds spirit, made book glob gone with our<br>I many right spirit water way delig and active with the | المدد مواجه الأولى والمدون المداول ال |   |
| 4  | and when these their stay who span only also save uni-   |   |   | n man stein darf met deur vom Age able vor<br>2 perfy 1968 einer vom 2001 oblie faste Gen vo<br>3 pries, Spin 1986 man-plan habe wete Spin au                  |   |   |

| relay cropped, for each envi:<br>in percentages.   | maize s<br>ronment,  | t is mo<br>meason)<br>HINT:                     | vs. int                        | ercropp                    | ed (      |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| -  | Enviro   | nments  | (Write                         | in numb                    | ers)      |
|  | unio para salan maga ping para salah Pin<br>B  | ;   | - ton the vity res end not not |                            |           |
| Monocropped  |  |   |                                | *                          |           |
| Intercropped/ relay cropped  |  | ;   | :                              | :                          |           |
| TOTAL:   | 100%   | 1009  | 10                             | 00%                        | 100       |
| 16 List the crops commonly and estimate the % of intercarea corresponding to each, for each environment should   | rop <mark>pe</mark> d (<br>for each  | or rela   | ayed) ar<br>onment,            | <b>ea</b>                  |           |
|  | Enviro   | onments   | (Write                         | in numb                    | ers:      |
|  | ;  | :   | :                              | :                          |           |
| 1  |  | ;   |                                |                            |           |
|  |  |   |                                |                            |           |
| 3  |  |   | :                              | *                          |           |
| 4 was selected, start and place from the code and place of the code and place of the code and th | *  |   |                                |                            |           |
|  | ;  |   |                                |                            |           |
|  |  |   |                                |                            |           |
| ating and along lappy man, along part of the ann and the later made that and the ann and that and the same who well  | 100  | ) <b>%</b> :                                    | 100%                           | 100%                       |           |
| 17 Maize utilization: Estimathe following way, for each  | ate the<br>environm  | % of ma   | aize gra                       |                            | in        |
|  | ate the<br>environm  | % of ma   | aize gra                       | in used                    | in        |
| the following way, for each  | ate the environm   | % of manent.                                    | (Write                         | in numb                    | in<br>ers |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock   | ate the environment Environment in the state of the state | % of manents                                    | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock   | ate the environment Environment in the state of the state | % of manents                                    | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock   | ate the environment Environmen | % of manents                                    | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
|  | Environ  | % of manents                                    | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock Sold/ traded/ bartered  18 Maize utilization: Estimathe following way, for each   | Environment of the environment o | % of manents  % of manents  % of manents        | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock Sold/ traded/ bartered  18 Maize utilization: Estimates   | Environment of the environment o | % of manents  % of manents  % of manents  nent. | (Write                         | in numb                    | in        |
| Consumed by farm family Fed to livestock Sold/ traded/ bartered  18 Maize utilization: Estimathe following way, for each   | ate the environment of the envir | % of manents  % of manents  % of manents  nent. | (Write                         | in numb :: 100% in numb :: | in        |

19 Estimate the % of maize stover used in the following ways, for each environment, regardless of timing of use (e.g., during vegetative stage, during grain filling, after harvest).

|                               | Environm | ents (Wri | te in numl | bers)  |
|-------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|--------|
|                               | :        | :         |            | :<br>: |
| Fed to animals Not used Other |          |           |            |        |
|                               | 100%     | 100%      | 100%       | 100%   |

20 Maize varietal characteristics: estimate the percentage of maize area with the following characteristics, by environment. HINT: Answers should be in percentages and should sum to 100% within characteristics.

|   | Environm | ents (Writ | e in numb | ers)  |
|---|----------|------------|-----------|-------|
| Characteristics   | •        | :          |           |       |
| COLOR: White Yellow Total   | : 100%   | 100%       | 100%      | 100%  |
| TEXTURE: Dent Flint Total   | : 100%   | 100%       | 100%      | 100%  |
| GENETIC BACKGROUND Local Contaminated improved Improved (purchased within last three years) Total | 100%     | 100%       | 100%      | 100%  |
| MATURITY Short season Full season Total   | : 100%   | 100%       | 100%      | 100%  |
| SEED SOURCE Official sources 1/ Private seed company/ agent Unofficial sources 2/ Own Total       | 100%     | 100%       | 100\$     | 100\$ |

<sup>1/</sup> AIC, JT, JTA, coop 2/ market, neighbor

| QUESTIONS ON MAIZE MANA  | AGEMENT   |  |  |  |                       |
|--|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| 21 Estimate the % of a hand labor.   |   | tilled by  |  |  |                       |
| due tale, and any size any light wire day per over tree and state leaf rate and state any five day after the   | •   | :  |  | The set year told the ter Jon See See    | and the said the said |
| Tractor<br>Bullock<br>Hand labor   | :   |  |  |  |                       |
| Total:   |   | 100%   | 100%   | 100%                                     | 100%                  |
| 22 Estimate the % of a stripping of leaves for   |   | in which   | farmers  | practice                                 |                       |
| hing side flow from their tests state to the state of the | Environm  | ents (Wri  | te in num  | bers)                                    |                       |
| Leaf stripping   |   |  |  | * ************************************   |                       |
| Leaf stripping<br>No leaf stripping  | State name rough three States States States and with  | half don't half then spire staff from sage<br>and proportions been body state staff from   | The first ends the even proc case and first case an | para gira-diga sela-aurikasin kiril dadi |                       |
| Total:   | 100%  | 100%   | 100%   | 100%                                     |                       |
| 23 Estimate the % of detopping for fodder.   | maize area  | in which   | farmers  | practice                                 |                       |
|  | Environs  | ents (Wri  | te in num  | bers)                                    |                       |
| Detopping  | tion sain river uses take use take, and take an   |  | *  | the two constants and the ten constant   |                       |
| Detopping No detopping   |   | play allow parts to the state parts to the same.   |  |  |                       |
| Total:   | 100%  | 100%   | 100%   | 100%                                     |                       |
| 24 Estimate the % of minsecticides to maize.   | aize area   | on which   | farmers a  | pply                                     |                       |
|  | Environm  | ents (Write  | te in num  | bers)                                    |                       |
| Insecticide use  | *   | - 1-10 cent - 1-10 | *** **** **** **** **** **** **** ***<br>*<br>*  | *  |                       |
| Apply insecticides<br>No insecticides  | The same area and same pass again and again and again | Some and determined the control of t |  | The state and and all the same and       |                       |

100%

100% 100% 100%

Total:

| 25 Estimate the % of maize vs. unterraced land.                                 | •                   | anted to                |          |      |      |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|----------|------|------|
| Terraced vs. unterraced:  | :                   | :                       | :        |      | _    |
| Terraced land :   |                     |                         |          |      | -    |
| Total:  | 100%                | 100%                    | 100%     | 100% |      |
| 26 Estimate the % of maize  | Envi                | own in the              |          |      |      |
|   | :                   |                         | :        |      |      |
| Behind the plow/ furrows Broadcast/ planking Dibbling Line planting Other       |                     |                         |          |      |      |
| Total:  |                     | 100% 1                  | 00%      | 100% | 100% |
| 27 Estimate % of maize ar compost and fertilizer app                            | lication<br>Envi    |                         |          |      |      |
|   |                     | *                       |          | :    |      |
| Compost/ FYM applied<br>Fertilizer applied                                      | :                   |                         |          | ;_   |      |
| QUESTIONS ON PRODUCTIVITY  28 List the major maize d Select the three most impo | iseases<br>rtant fo | that occu<br>or each en | vironmen |      |      |
|   |                     | TI OTHIONCS             |          |      |      |
| Diseases  |                     | :                       | (WIICO I | :    |      |

29 List the major insects that occur in each environment. Select the two or three most important for each one. Environments (Write in numbers) 1 Stem borer 2 Wireworm 3 White grub 4 Armyworm 6 Others \_\_\_\_ 30 In every ten years, how many years is maize affected by drought? HINT: Write in the number of years for each environment. Environments (Write in numbers) : : : No. of years At what stage of crop growth does drought commonly occur, by environment? HINT: Check off appropriate boxes Environments (Write in numbers) Drought/ crop stage : : : : First 30 days Vegetative stage
Around flowering
Grain filling stage Other \_\_\_\_ 32 When drought occurs, what is the average percentage yield loss for maize, by environment? Environments (Write in numbers) Yield loss (%) : : : :

# QUESTIONS ON YIELD GAP

33

Do you feel that there is a difference between maize yields when the recommended package of production practices is used, compared to average farmers' practice in your 11aka?

If so, what are the factors that cause this yield gap for different environments?

|   | Environ | ments (Writ | e in num | bers) |
|---|---------|-------------|----------|-------|
| Factors/ yield gap  | •       | :           | }        | :     |
| Variety Plant population Soil fertility Drought Hail Waterlogging Insects Disease Weeds Other Other |         |             |          |       |
| Total:  | 100%    | 100%        | 100%     | 100%  |