



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Crop Protection 22 (2003) 179–184

Crop
Protection

www.elsevier.com/locate/cropro

Larval distribution and survival of second generation European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) on Event 176 Bt Corn

Amelia C. Zoerb^a, Terence Spencer^a, Richard L. Hellmich^b, Robert J. Wright^c,
Blair D. Siegfried^{a,*}

^a Department of Entomology, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, 202 Plant Industry Building, Lincoln, NE 68583-0816, USA

^b USDA-ARS, Corn Insects and Crop Genetics Research Unit, Genetics Laboratory, c/o Insectary, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

^c South Central Research and Extension Center, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Clay Center, NE 68933, USA

Received 22 February 2002; received in revised form 29 July 2002; accepted 31 July 2002

Abstract

European corn borer *Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) larvae that have completed development on Event 176 Bt corn hybrids have either survived exposure to sublethal doses of Cry1Ab Bt toxin or exploited plant tissues that do not express the toxin. To evaluate the impact of such exposure on larval establishment and survival, Event 176 plants with and without tassels and a non-Bt isolate were infested with *O. nubilalis* egg masses during anthesis. On the non-Bt plants, larval establishment occurred primarily on pollen collecting in the leaf axils, silks and ears. In contrast, almost no larvae were recovered from leaf axils of the Bt treatments and at least 50% fewer larvae were recovered from the silks and ears during the first 2 weeks after infestation relative to the non-Bt plants. The larvae recovered from Bt treatments weighed significantly less than those observed in the non-Bt isolate at 4 weeks after infestation. By the eighth week, the larval weights of all three treatments were similar in three of four different field tests, suggesting that second generation larvae have the ability to compensate for initial exposure to sublethal doses of Bt toxin. In laboratory assays involving exposure of neonate larvae to silks of Event 176 and non-Bt corn, survival of neonate *O. nubilalis* was not different although larval weights were significantly reduced (2- to 6-fold). These results suggest that second generation larvae completing development on Event 176 corn do not completely avoid exposure to the Bt toxin, although those that do survive are able to compensate for these sublethal effects. The implication of these results is that Event 176 hybrids do not appear to satisfy requirements for high dose that are recommended for resistance management purposes.

© 2002 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: European corn borer; Bt corn; Resistance management

1. Introduction

Field tests using natural and manual European corn borer [*Ostrinia nubilalis* (Hübner)] infestations have shown that Bt corn hybrids provide more than 90% control of first generation larvae in whorl-stage corn (Barry and Darrah, 1997). However, the level of control against late-season *O. nubilalis* infestations differs among Bt corn events. Specifically, Event 176 hybrids express high levels of Cry1Ab toxin in green plant tissue and pollen, but extremely low levels in the silk and

kernels (Koziel et al., 1993). Additionally, this event is known to have reduced expression of the Bt toxin in green tissue as the plant senesces (Fearing et al., 1997; Ostlie et al., 1997). Second generation *O. nubilalis* larvae are known to infest the silks of developing ears, and have been shown to survive and later tunnel into the ears, ear shanks, and stalks of Event 176 corn (Siegfried et al., 2001). Larvae that complete development on Event 176 corn hybrids have therefore potentially survived a sublethal dose of the Bt toxin.

To ensure the long-term sustainability of Bt corn against *O. nubilalis*, management strategies that prevent or delay the development of resistance have been proposed. The high-dose/refuge strategy is the current foundation for managing pest resistance in Bt crops

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-402-472-8714; fax: +1-402-472-4687.

E-mail address: bsiegfried1@unl.edu (B.D. Siegfried).

(Ostlie et al., 1997; International Life Sciences Institute, 1998; Andow and Hutchinson, 1998). This approach requires that the transgenic insecticidal crop kill all homozygous susceptible and most heterozygous individuals in the population, leaving very few heterozygous and rare homozygous resistant insects. A refuge of non-Bt plants in close proximity to the Bt crop is intended to provide large numbers of homozygous susceptible insects to mate with any rare homozygous resistant insects that may survive on the transgenic crop (Alstad and Andow, 1995; Roush, 1996; Andow and Hutchison, 1998; Gould, 1998).

Because Event 176 hybrids have repeatedly been shown to support significant populations of second generation *O. nubilalis*, its ability to provide a high dose has been questioned (Onstad and Gould, 1998). Exposure to a potentially sublethal dose may result in increased selection for resistance because the titer of Bt within the plant is below a level necessary to kill heterozygous individuals that carry resistance alleles. Sublethal exposure is likely to be manifested in increased development times, reduced larval and pupal weights, and ultimately reduced reproductive fitness of adults (Tang et al., 1997). Alternatively, larvae that initiate feeding on Event 176 plants may exploit tissues that do not express toxins or possess levels that do not cause adverse effects such as silk, ears, and pith.

Siegfried et al. (2001) reported that *O. nubilalis* second generation larvae completing development on Event 176 hybrids in the field exhibited approximately 10% higher survival rates and correspondingly lower parasitism rates than larvae that developed on conventional corn hybrids. Furthermore, no significant differences in pupal weight, fecundity, longevity or susceptibility to the Cry1Ab Bt toxin were detected between larvae developing on Event 176 or the non-Bt isolate. These results indicate that survival on Event 176 corn does not adversely affect fitness and does not cause increased tolerance in subsequent generations. However, it is unclear whether these larvae avoided exposure to the toxin by exploiting tissues that do not express the toxin or whether initial sublethal exposure was compensated for later in larval development. The objective of the present study was to document the effect of Event 176 Bt transgenic corn on *O. nubilalis* larvae by comparing growth rates, survival and distribution among different plant structures of second generation larvae on Event 176 and its non-Bt isolate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Field experiments

The field experiments employed a randomized complete block design consisting of six replicated blocks of

Event 176 (Bt), detasseled Event 176 (Bt detasseled), and its non-Bt isolate. Each block was 8 rows wide (76 cm between rows) by 4.6 m long and was planted within a large commercial field of non-Bt corn to achieve at least 30 m of separation between plots and to minimize Bt pollen movement between plots. Plots were located at two different sites: the University of Nebraska Agricultural Research and Development Center at Mead, Nebraska and at Iowa State University at Ames, Iowa in 1998 and 1999.

Fifteen plants from the second and fourth rows of the Event 176 plots were manually infested with approximately 50 neonate *O. nubilalis* larvae during early whorl stage and monitored for larval feeding to insure that the plants were expressing the Bt toxin. All infested plants were examined for larval injury after 1 week, and plants exhibiting signs of larval feeding were destroyed. Because Event 176 hybrids are known to express high levels of toxin during the whorl stage, non-expressing "off-types" could be identified by larval injury.

Plants were examined for egg masses of *O. nubilalis* before and during the experiment. However, natural populations of *O. nubilalis* were insignificant during both years of the study and at both sites (data not shown). Manual infestations of all treatments were timed to coincide with anthesis to ensure that pollen was present during the initial stages of larval development. Ten plants from each Bt plot known to be expressing Bt were then infested by pinning two individual blackhead stage *O. nubilalis* egg masses that had been oviposited onto waxed paper (supplied by the USDA-ARS Corn Insects and Crop Genetics Research Unit, Ames, IA) to the undersides of each of three leaves per plant; the ear leaf, second leaf above the ear, and the second leaf below the ear. Ten plants from the control plots containing the non-Bt isolate were infested in a similar manner.

Infested plants were dissected (2 plants per plot) at 1-, 2-, 4-, and 8-weeks after infestation to determine larval establishment and development. All parts of the corn plant including leaf midribs, leaf axils, husks, leaf sheaths, silks, ears, and stalks were examined for larval establishment. All plants were dissected in the field, and larvae collected from individual plants were placed in labeled 1.5-ml centrifuge tubes and transported on ice to the laboratory to measure larval weight.

Differences among treatments within a given time period (weeks 1, 2, 4, and 8 post-infestation) were determined by analysis of variance (SAS Institute, 1999). Differences among treatment means were determined with the Fisher protected least significant difference (LSD) at the 5% level of significance (SAS Institute, 1999). For analysis of larval weights, each location and year were analyzed independently. For analysis of larval distribution among plant structures,

data sets from each year and location were pooled to increase sample sizes.

2.2. Silk bioassays

Bioassays were performed with silk from Event 176 and non-Bt corn plants. Silk was obtained from Bt, Bt detasseled, and non-Bt isoline corn plants grown in a greenhouse in 1 gal pots at 30–35°C and 16:8 light:dark photoperiod. Ears from the Bt detasseled treatment were covered with plastic bags during anthesis to prevent pollination. In a separate experiment, silk was also obtained from a commercial field of Event 176 corn planted near Mead, Nebraska, USA. For the detasseled treatment, developing ears were again covered with plastic bags to prevent pollination. For the non-Bt treatment, silks were collected from a non-Bt hybrid of similar maturity growing within 1 km of the Event 176 field. During anthesis, ears were gathered from Bt, Bt detasseled, and non-Bt corn, transported on ice and refrigerated for up to 5 days. The silk was removed from the ears and placed lengthwise in plastic drinking straws to minimize desiccation. The silk filled straws were then cut into 2.5 cm lengths and placed in individual wells of 32-well rearing trays (each well 25 × 25 mm and 15 mm deep; Bioserv, Frenchtown, New Jersey). A single *O. nubilalis* neonate was placed in each well and the tray was sealed with contact paper that had been perforated with small holes for air exchange. The trays were then

held in an incubator set at 37°C without lighting. Mortality and larval weight were recorded after 7 days.

Bioassays were repeated at least four times with 100 larvae tested per replicate. Differences in larval weight and mortality were determined by analysis of variance (SAS Institute, 1999). Differences between treatment means were assessed using the Fisher protected least significant difference (LSD) at the 5% level of significance (SAS Institute, 1999).

3. Results

3.1. Field experiments

There were no significant differences observed between the weights of the larvae recovered from the non-Bt isoline and those recovered from the Bt treatments 1 week after infestation in both years and at both locations (Figs. 1a–d). However by week 4, larvae recovered from the non-Bt isoline weighed significantly more than larvae from either of the two Bt treatments at both sites and during both years. There were no differences in larval weights between the Bt detasseled and Bt treatments in either year or at either location. Importantly, larvae recovered from Event 176 plants exhibited increased weight gain later in development (4–8 weeks) relative to the non-Bt isoline. By week 8 and in 3 of the 4 experiments, there were no significant differences in larval weights among any of the treatments




Fig. 1. *O. nubilalis* larval weights recorded at 1, 2, 4, and 8 weeks after manual infestation of Event 176 plants (with and without tassels) and a non-Bt isoline. No significant differences were observed between Event 176 and Event 176 detasseled treatments. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between the non-Bt isoline and Bt treatments indicated by * (LSD test; SAS Institute Inc., 1999). Sample sizes varied among treatments and dates, but each point represents the mean of at least 5 individual larvae.

in (Fig. 1). The only exception occurred in 1999 at the Iowa site where weights of larvae from the non-Bt isoline were significantly greater than the two Bt treatments at both weeks 4 and 8 (Fig. 1). However, it should be noted that larval weight of the control was considerably lower than the final weight achieved after four weeks in all the other experiments suggesting that overall development rates were delayed relative to the other experiments.

The distribution of *O. nubilalis* larvae from the various plant structures for combined data sets from Iowa and Nebraska and from both 1998 and 1999 are presented in Fig. 2. One week after infestation, larvae from the non-Bt treatment were concentrated in silk and leaf tissue. Larvae recovered from leaves were found feeding on pollen that had collected in the leaf axils. In contrast, larvae recovered from the Bt and Bt detasseled plants were found only in the silk and ear tips. The absence of larvae from leaves of Event 176 plants is not

unexpected given the high expression of the Cry1Ab toxin in green tissue and pollen. Significantly higher numbers of larvae were recovered from non-Bt isoline silk and ears suggesting some level of mortality was associated with feeding on reproductive tissue of the Event 176 plants or that mortality occurred from consumption of other plant tissue prior to reaching the silk and ears. Similar recovery rates between Event 176 plants with and without tassels suggest that the higher rates of survival on non-Bt plants is unrelated to the presence of high-expressing pollen that had fallen on silks.

Two weeks after infestation, the number of larvae recovered from silks had dropped considerably in all three treatments indicating that silk tissue was beginning to degrade and no longer provided a viable food source (Fig. 2). In contrast to the first week after infestation, there were few significant differences among treatments in larval numbers recovered from silk. Higher numbers




Fig. 2. Number of *O. nubilalis* larvae recovered from Event 176 corn plant tissues with and without tassels and from the non-Bt isoline. Bars indicate mean and SE for the total number of larvae recovered from each tissue type for the combined experiments from 1998 and 1999 and from Iowa and Nebraska. Bars with the same letter within a tissue and sampling date are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$; LSD test).

of larvae were again recovered from leaves of the non-Bt plants compared to the two Bt treatments.

Numbers of larvae found in the ear were relatively constant from week 1 to week 4. However, it is likely that many larvae recovered from the silks during the first week could have easily moved to the ear tip. By weeks 2 and 4, the larvae were found boring into the ears, and numbers of larvae recovered from the ears of the non-Bt isoline were greater than those found in the two Bt treatments. Fewer larvae were found in the leaves, which corresponded to an increase in larvae recovered from the stalk.

By week 4, the stalks of the non-Bt isoline had significantly more larvae than either the Bt or Bt detasseled stalks. There was a gradual increase in the number of larvae found in the stalks from the two Bt treatments between weeks 2–8. However, there was a large decrease in the number of larvae recovered in non-Bt stalks between weeks 4–8, such that by week 8 there were no longer any differences in the number of larvae recovered from stalks among the three treatments. Increased survival in the stalks of Bt plants is consistent with a general decline in Bt titer of Event 176 plants during senescence, and decreased sensitivity of later instar larvae (Huang et al., 1999).

3.2. Silk bioassays

Larvae reared on silks of the non-Bt isoline weighed significantly more than those reared on the silks of either of the Bt treatments (Table 1). When larvae developed on silk obtained from the greenhouse-grown corn, there was a significant difference between weights of larvae grown on Bt silk and those grown on Bt detasseled silk.

Table 1
Susceptibility of neonate *O. nubilalis* exposed for 7 days to fresh silks from Event 176 and non-Bt hybrids grown in the greenhouse or collected from the field

Treatment	Silk source	
	Greenhouse ^a	Field ^b
	Larval weight ± SE (mg)	
Isoline	12.29 ± 0.47 a	9.61 ± 0.46 a
Bt	2.98 ± 0.17 b	3.96 ± 0.24 c
Bt detasseled	3.89 ± 0.24 b	4.00 ± 0.26 b
	Larval mortality ± SE (%)	
Isoline	18.0 ± 10.5% a	5.6 ± 1.9% a
Bt	24.0 ± 9.4% a	6.8 ± 2.4% a
Bt detasseled	23.0 ± 11.2% a	6.6 ± 1.3% a

^a N=126, 170, 157 for isoline, Bt and Bt detasseled treatments, respectively.

^b N=175, 188, 180 for isoline, Bt and Bt detasseled treatments, respectively.

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$; LSD test) (SAS Institute, 1999).

However, when larvae developed on silk from field-grown plants, there was no significant difference between the Bt and Bt detasseled treatments (Table 1). There were no significant differences among any of the treatments in larval mortality.

4. Discussion

Event 176 plants clearly affected overall survival rates of *O. nubilalis* larvae. The silks, leaf axils, and ear tip of the non-Bt isoline supported more larvae than either of the Bt treatments during the first two weeks following infestation. This was especially true for larvae recovered from the leaf axil. Because Event 176 has high levels of Bt expression in pollen and green tissue, overall differences in establishment between Event 176 and the non-Bt isoline is at least partially attributable to the apparent preference of *O. nubilalis* neonates to initiate feeding on pollen collected in the leaf axils (Mason et al., 1996).

It is important to note that significant differences also were observed in the number of larvae recovered from reproductive tissues (i.e., silk and ear tips) that have been previously reported to express toxin at levels below the limits of detection (Koziel et al., 1993; Fearing et al., 1997). Such differences were not due to the presence of high-expressing pollen because the number of larvae recovered from detasseled plants was also significantly reduced relative to the isoline. However, it cannot be determined where these differences were due to direct mortality from feeding on reproductive tissue or if some mortality was caused by feeding prior to reaching the ears. The differences between Event 176 and isoline plants in the number of larvae recovered from reproductive tissue could be due prior feeding on green tissue or pollen.

Results from this investigation also indicate that sublethal exposure to the Bt toxin occurs among larvae developing on Event 176 plants based on reduced rate of weight gain of larvae that survived and developed on silk and ear tips. Furthermore, larvae that fed exclusively on silks of Event 176 corn from both intact and detasseled plants in laboratory assays weighed significantly less than those developing on non-Bt silk, although mortality was unaffected.

Previous work has shown that fitness parameters (e.g., pupal weight, adult longevity, and fecundity) of *O. nubilalis* completing development on Event 176 plants were not significantly affected (Siegfried et al., 2001). It was proposed that larvae completing development on these plants either compensated for sublethal exposure later in development or avoided exposure to the toxin by exploiting tissues that do not express the toxin. The present results suggest strongly that there is significant exposure to sublethal doses of the toxin that cause

developmental delays in exposed larvae. However, these sublethal effects are compensated for by increased growth rates later in development. Therefore, significant fitness reductions would only be observed if the developmental delay were so severe that the larvae could not complete development before entering diapause. The significance of these findings in terms of resistance management and the high dose/refuge concept is that Event 176 does not appear to satisfy the high dose requirements for second generation larvae.

Although both the pollen and green tissue appear to satisfy the high dose criteria, the role of sublethal exposure that apparently occurs in reproductive tissue is difficult to assess as it does not appear to contribute directly to reduced survival. If the fitness of heterozygous resistant individuals is significantly enhanced relative to susceptible homozygotes because of sublethal expression of toxin, the high dose-refuge strategy would be severely compromised (Gould, 1998; International Life Sciences Institute, 1998). However, if expression of toxin is low enough to provide significant survival of susceptible homozygotes, the technology may still be utilized in a manner that is compatible with resistance management (Roush, 1996; Onstad et al., 2001).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the contributions of M. Lavelle, C. King and S. Powell for assistance in field aspects of this work. T. Nowatzki and S. Parimi provided critical review of an earlier draft of this manuscript. Funding for this work was provided by Novartis Seeds Inc. and Mycogen Seeds Inc. This is journal series No. 13642 of the University of Nebraska Agricultural Experiment Station and contribution No. 1118 of the Department of Entomology.

References

- Alstad, D.N., Andow, D.A., 1995. Managing the evolution of insect resistance to transgenic plants. *Science* 268, 1894–1896.
- Andow, D.A., Hutchison, W.D., 1998. Bt corn resistance management. In: Mellon, M., Rissler, J. (Eds.), *Now or Never: Serious New Plans to Save a Natural Pest Control*. Union of Concerned Scientists, Cambridge, MA, pp. 19–66.
- Barry, B.D., Darrah, L.L., 1997. European corn borer resistance: evaluation of commercial maize hybrids and transgenic maize cultivars. Proceedings of an International Symposium "Insect resistant maize: recent advances and utilization" held at the International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico City, Mexico, pp. 266–270.
- Fearing, P.L., Brown, D., Vlachos, D., Meghji, M., Privalle, L., 1997. Quantitative analysis of CryIA(b) expression in Bt maize plants, tissues, and silage and stability of expression over successive generations. *Mol. Breeding* 3, 169–176.
- Gould, F., 1998. Sustainability of transgenic insecticidal cultivars: integrating pest genetics and ecology. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 43, 701–726.
- Huang, F., Buschman, L.L., Higgins, R.A., 1999. Susceptibility of different instars of European corn borer (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) to diet containing *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 92, 547–550.
- International Life Sciences Institute, 1998. *An Evaluation of Insect Resistance Management in Bt Field Corn: A Science-Based Framework for Risk Assessment and Risk Management*. ILSI Press, Washington, DC, 78pp.
- Kozziel, M.G., Beland, G.L., Bowman, C., Carozzi, N.B., Crenshaw, R., Crossland, L., Dawson, J., Desai, N., Hill, M., Kadwell, S., Launis, K., Lewis, K., Maddox, D., McPherson, K., Meghji, M.R., Merlin, E., Rhodes, R., Warren, G.W., Wright, M., Evola, S.V., 1993. Field performance of elite transgenic plants expressing an insecticidal protein derived from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Bio/Technology* 11, 194–200.
- Mason, C.E., Rice, M.E., Calvin, D.D., Van Duyn, J.W., Showers, W.B., Hutchison, W.D., Witkowski, J.F., Higgins, R.A., Onstad, D. W., Dively, G.P., 1996. *European corn borer ecology and management*. North Cent. Reg. Publ. 327, Iowa State University, Ames.
- Onstad, D.W., Gould, F., 1998. Do dynamics of crop maturation and herbivorous insect life cycle influence the risk of adaptation to toxins in transgenic host plants? *Environ. Entomol.* 27, 517–522.
- Onstad, D.W., Guse, C.A., Spencer, J.L., Levine, E., Gray, M.E., 2001. Modeling the dynamics of adaptation to transgenic corn by western corn rootworm (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 94, 529–540.
- Ostlie, K.R., Hutchison, W.D., Hellmich, R.L., 1997. *Bt corn and European corn borer*. NCR Publication 602, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN.
- Roush, R.T., 1996. Can we slow adaptation by pests to insect resistant transgenic crops. In: Persley, G.J. (Ed.), *Biotechnology and Integrated Pest Management*. University Press, Cambridge, England, pp. 242–263.
- SAS Institute, 1999. SAS version 8.01. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, 441pp.
- Siegfried, B.D., Zoerb, A., Spencer, T., 2001. Effect of Event 176 transgenic maize on susceptibility and fitness of the European corn borer. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 100, 15–20.
- Tang, J.D., Gilboa, S., Roush, R.T., Shelton, A.M., 1997. Inheritance, stability, and lack-of-fitness costs of field-selected resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* in diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) from Florida. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 90, 732–741.



Crop Protection

Advertising information. Advertising orders and enquiries can be sent to: **USA, Canada and South America:** Mr Tino de Carlo, The Advertising Department, Elsevier Science Inc., 360 Park Avenue South, New York, NY 10010-1710, USA; phone (+1) (212) 633 3815; fax: (+1) (212) 633 3820; e-mail: t.decarlo@elsevier.com. **Japan:** The Advertising Department, Elsevier Science K.K., 9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-0044, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561 5673; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047. **Europe and ROW:** Commercial Sales Department, Elsevier Science Ltd., The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, UK; phone: (+44) 1865 843016; fax: (+44) 1865 843976; e-mail: media@elsevier.com

Publication information: Crop Protection (ISSN 0261-2194). For 2003, volume 22 is scheduled for publication. Subscription prices are available upon request from the Publisher or from the Regional Sales Office nearest you or from this journal's website (<http://www.elsevier.com/locate/croppro>). Further information is available on this journal and other Elsevier Science products through Elsevier's website: (<http://www.elsevier.com>). Subscriptions are accepted on a prepaid basis only and are entered on a calendar year basis. Issues are sent by standard mail (surface within Europe, air delivery outside Europe). Priority rates are available upon request. Claims for missing issues should be made within six months of the date of dispatch.

USA Mailing notice: Crop Protection (ISSN 0261-2194) is published ten times a year in February, March, April, May, June, July, August, September, November and December by Elsevier Science Ltd., (P.O. Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Annual subscription price in the USA is US\$1,085 (valid in North, Central and South America) including air speed delivery. Periodical postage rate paid at Jamaica, NY 11431. **USA POSTMASTER:** Send address changes to Crop Protection, Publications Expediting Inc., 200 Mercham Ave, Elmont, NY 11003. **AIRFREIGHT AND MAILING** in the USA by Publications Expediting Inc., 200 Meacham Avenue, Elmont, NY 11003.

Author enquiries: For enquiries relating to the submission of articles (including electronic submission where available) please visit the Author Gateway from Elsevier Science at <http://authors.elsevier.com>. The Author Gateway also provides the facility to track accepted articles and set up e-mail alerts to inform you of when an article's status has changed, as well as detailed artwork guidelines, copyright information, frequently asked questions more.

Contact details for questions arising after acceptance of an article, especially those relating to proofs, are provided after registration of an article for publication.

Orders, claims, and product enquiries: please contact the Customer Support Department at the Regional Sales Office nearest you:

New York: Elsevier Science, PO Box 945, New York, NY 10159-0945, USA; phone: (+1) (212) 633 3730 [toll free number for North American customers: 1-888-4ES-INFO (437-4636)]; fax: (+1) (212) 633 3680; e-mail: usinfo-f@elsevier.com

Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, PO Box 211, 1000 AE Amsterdam, The Netherlands; phone: (+31) 20 4853757; fax: (+31) 20 4853432; e-mail: nlinfo-f@elsevier.com

Tokyo: Elsevier Science, 9-15 Higashi-Azabu 1-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo 106-0044, Japan; phone: (+81) (3) 5561 5033; fax: (+81) (3) 5561 5047; e-mail: info@elsevier.co.jp

Singapore: Elsevier Science, 3 Killiney Road, #08-01 Winsland House I, Singapore 239519; phone: (+65) 6349 0200; fax: (+65) 6733 1510; e-mail: asiainfo@elsevier.com.sg

Rio de Janeiro: Elsevier Science, Rua Sete de Setembro 111/16 Andar, 20050-002 Centro, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil; phone: (+55) (21) 509 5340; fax: (+55) (21) 507 1991; e-mail: elsevier@campus.com.br [Note (Latin America): for orders, claims and help desk information, please contact the Regional Sales Office in New York as listed above]

© 2003 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

This journal and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by Elsevier Science Ltd. and the following terms and conditions apply to their use:

Photocopying

Single photocopies of single articles may be made for personal use as allowed by national copyright laws. Permission of the publisher and payment of a fee is required for all other photocopying, including multiple or systematic copying, copying for advertising or promotional purposes, resale, and all forms of document delivery. Special rates are available for educational institutions that wish to make photocopies for non-profit educational classroom use.

Permissions may be sought directly from Elsevier Science via their homepage (<http://www.elsevier.com>), by selecting 'Customer support' and then 'Permissions'. Alternatively you can send an e-mail to: permissions@elsevier.co.uk, or fax to: (+44)1865 853333.

In the USA, users may clear permissions and make payments through the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA; phone: (978) 7508400, fax: (978) 7504744, and in the UK through the Copyright Licensing Agency Rapid Clearance Service (CLARCS), 90 Tottenham Court Road, London W1P0LP, UK; phone: (+44)20 7631 5555; fax: (+44)20 7631 5500. Other countries may have a local reprographic rights agency for payments.

Derivative Works

Subscribers may reproduce tables of contents or prepare lists of articles including abstracts for internal circulation within their institutions. Permission of the publisher is required for resale or distribution outside the institution.

Permission of the publisher is required for all other derivative works, including compilations and translations.

Electronic Storage or Usage

Permission of the publisher is required to store or use electronically any material contained in this journal, including any article or part of an article.

Except as outlined above, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior written permission of the publisher.

Address permissions requests to: Elsevier Science Global Rights Department, at the mail, fax and e-mail addresses noted above.

Notice

No responsibility is assumed by the publisher for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions or ideas contained in the material herein. Because of rapid advances in the medical sciences, in particular, independent verification of diagnoses and drug dosages should be made.

Although all advertising material is expected to conform to ethical (medical) standards, inclusion in this publication does not constitute a guarantee or endorsement of the quality or value of such product or of the claims made of it by its manufacturer.

© The paper used in this publication meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (Permanence of Paper).

For a full and complete Guide for Authors, please see the end of this issue
This Guide can also be found on the World Wide Web: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/croppro>



ELSEVIER

Imp

Abstrac

The v
attribu
(CRIN
method
signifi
in a sol
50% ab
62.0%
proced
cleanin
improv

Keywor

1. Intro

The
cocoa
chocol
hetero
incom
of gen
integra
impro
to pre
genoty
becaus
deep
multip
partic
cient.

*Corr
E-m

0261-21
PII: S0