Maize HapMap2 identifies extant variation from a genome in flux
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Whereas breeders have exploited diversity in maize for yield improvements, there has been limited progress in using beneficial alleles in undomesticated varieties. Characterizing standing variation in this complex genome has been challenging, with only a small fraction of it described to date. Using a population genetics scoring model, we identified 55 million SNPs in 103 lines across pre-domestication and domesticated Zea mays varieties, including a representative from the sister genus Tripsacum. We find that structural variations are pervasive in the Z. mays genome and are enriched at loci associated with important traits. By investigating the drivers of genome size variation, we find that the larger Tripsacum genome can be explained by transposable element abundance rather than an allopolyploid origin. In contrast, intraspecies genome size variation seems to be controlled by chromosomal knob content. There is tremendous overlap in key gene content in maize and Tripsacum, suggesting that adaptations from Tripsacum (for example, perennialism and frost and drought tolerance) can likely be integrated into maize.

Maize nucleotide diversity is near the upper limit of that estimated for crops and is an order of magnitude higher than in humans12,13. However, this genetic diversity not only arises from SNPs and small insertions-deletions (indels) but also from larger structural variations3,5. Additionally, homeologous segments in this paleopolyploid contribute to genome complexity. Nearly 85% of the maize reference genome sequence is annotated as transposable elements6,7, and cycles of transposable element invasion, activity and loss8, combined with the ability of these elements to shuffle gene fragments, have undoubtedly left a profound impact on the genome. Recent estimates suggest that the reference B73 sequence may capture only ~70% of the low-copy gene fraction of maize inbred lines9, with both genes and transposable elements occupying the unshared sequence space10. Consequently, in addition to SNPs and small indels, structural variations in the form of copy-number variations, presence/absence variations and movement of transposable elements3–5 contribute substantially to the genetic diversity in maize. Characterizing this diversity—in particular, at a sufficient density to drive genome-wide association studies (GWAS) or genomic selection—has been technically challenging.

Here, through whole-genome surveys using sequencing-by-synthesis technology, we have conducted a comprehensive characterization of genetic variation across 103 inbred lines representing a wide breadth of the Z. mays lineage, comprising 60 improved maize lines, including the parents of the maize nested association mapping (NAM) population11, 23 maize landraces and 19 wild relatives (17 Z. mays ssp. parviglumis and 2 Z. mays ssp. mexicana).
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We examined the 55 million SNPs for their potential effects on protein-coding sequences. We found that 21% were associated with a genic region, including 825,000 synonymous, 571,000 nonsynonymous and ~10,000 nonsense mutations (Supplementary Fig. 3). More than 1,500 (7.5%) of the 20,380 high-confidence genes (those with full-length cDNA support) carried a premature stop codon variation. The allele frequency of these nonsense SNPs differed between the improved maize lines and teosinte (8.0% versus 9.6%; Supplementary Table 5), and teosinte genomes carried more nonsense mutations on average (Supplementary Table 6). This lower genetic load in maize inbred lines relative to their outcrossing ancestor teosinte is consistent with the hypothesis that homozygosity purges recessive deleterious mutations, as seen in selfing taxa, such as Arabidopsis.

We characterized structural variation in the maize genome through a global analysis of read-depth variants (RDVs) in both 10-kb windows and individual genes. Our data suggest that the entire maize genome is in flux: more than 90% of the 10-kb windows showed greater than twofold variation in read depth at a false discovery rate of 1%, and more than 70% of windows had such RDVs in ≥10 lines. By comparing the RDVs to nearby SNPs using an LD test, 80% of the tested RDV intervals could be anchored locally (Supplementary Note). The majority (70%) of genes had an RDV in at least one line, and nearly a third (32%) had RDVs in ≥10 lines (Supplementary Table 7). Notably, as with tandemly arrayed genes in rice and Arabidopsis, genes with high levels of RDVs were found more often in gene ontology (GO) categories of stress and stimulus responses, whereas structurally invariant genes more often encoded constituent biological processes (Supplementary Table 8).

Despite the tremendous amount of historic recombination that has occurred in Z. mays, we found that large haplotype blocks were nonetheless evident throughout the genome. In the maize lines studied here, LD was generally low and decayed to an average $r^2 = 0.2$ in 5,500 bp (Fig. 1), but there were still extensive haplotypes shared among improved lines. Across all of the maize lines we analyzed, we found 80 blocks of IBD larger than 10 Mb in size (Supplementary Table 3), which is consistent with the results of recombination mapping and inbreeding studies in maize. This large block structure is consistent with the idea that the maize genome is a fluid genome. However, the small number of IBD blocks compared with the total number of segregating sites indicates that the maize genome is in flux: more than 90% of the 10-kb windows showed greater than twofold variation in read depth at a false discovery rate of 1%, and more than 70% of windows had such RDVs in ≥10 lines. By comparing the RDVs to nearby SNPs using an LD test, 80% of the tested RDV intervals could be anchored locally (Supplementary Note). The majority (70%) of genes had an RDV in at least one line, and nearly a third (32%) had RDVs in ≥10 lines (Supplementary Table 7). Notably, as with tandemly arrayed genes in rice and Arabidopsis, genes with high levels of RDVs were found more often in gene ontology (GO) categories of stress and stimulus responses, whereas structurally invariant genes more often encoded constituent biological processes (Supplementary Table 8).

Despite the tremendous amount of historic recombination that has occurred in Z. mays, we found that large haplotype blocks were nonetheless evident throughout the genome. In the maize lines studied here, LD was generally low and decayed to an average $r^2 = 0.2$ in 5,500 bp (Fig. 1), but there were still extensive haplotypes shared among improved lines. Across all of the maize lines we analyzed, we found 80 blocks of IBD larger than 10 Mb in size (Supplementary Table 3), which is consistent with the results of recombination mapping and inbreeding studies in maize. This large block structure is consistent with the idea that the maize genome is a fluid genome. However, the small number of IBD blocks compared with the total number of segregating sites indicates that the maize genome is in flux: more than 90% of the 10-kb windows showed greater than twofold variation in read depth at a false discovery rate of 1%, and more than 70% of windows had such RDVs in ≥10 lines. By comparing the RDVs to nearby SNPs using an LD test, 80% of the tested RDV intervals could be anchored locally (Supplementary Note). The majority (70%) of genes had an RDV in at least one line, and nearly a third (32%) had RDVs in ≥10 lines (Supplementary Table 7). Notably, as with tandemly arrayed genes in rice and Arabidopsis, genes with high levels of RDVs were found more often in gene ontology (GO) categories of stress and stimulus responses, whereas structurally invariant genes more often encoded constituent biological processes (Supplementary Table 8).
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from haplotype analysis of a smaller sample of Chinese inbred maize lines\textsuperscript{16}. This lack of recent recombination in some regions of the genome is likely central to the pseudo-overdominance model of heterosis\textsuperscript{3,5,9,11}. Considering teosinte and maize lines together reduces the decay of LD to only 1 kb (Supplementary Fig. 4), which is a result that helps justify the development of high-resolution association mapping populations that include teosinte. Notably, in contrast to HapMap1, in which the SNPs were in high LD in only 34% of pairwise comparisons\textsuperscript{9}, the variants described here were closer to saturating the genome with polymorphic markers in tight LD (80% of pairwise comparisons; \( r^2 > 0.8 \)), finally allowing genome-wide association studies to be performed in maize.

We evaluated the usefulness of our data for GWAS by combining the SNPs and RDVs identified here with the 1.6 million SNPs from HapMap1 (ref. \textsuperscript{9}) in an association analysis of 5 key traits involved in leaf development and disease resistance\textsuperscript{17–19}. Overall, we found better agreement of the complete marker set with linkage mapping peaks than with HapMap1 SNPs alone (Supplementary Fig. 5); in many cases, associations were much stronger with the complete set (Supplementary Table 9). HapMap2 SNPs contributed most to significantly associated loci (66%), and, in terms of marker types, genic SNPs (from both HapMap1 and 2), comprised over 60% of significant markers, with RDVs comprising 7% (Fig. 2). Unexpectedly, RDVs were overrepresented in the GWAS results, even after taking into account their abundance in the genome, with genic and 10-kb RDVs enriched up to 11- or 18-fold, respectively (Fig. 2). Given that LD in maize decayed to \( r^2 = 0.2 \) in 5.5 kb, we evaluated whether enrichment of RDVs at associated loci was present if smaller window sizes were used. Indeed, we still observe enrichment of RDVs in loci associated with traits when using smaller window sizes, and, notably, although 2-kb RDVs made up only 3.5% of markers used in these tests, they contributed to 15–27% of associated loci (Supplementary Fig. 6 and Supplementary Table 10). This suggests that structural variation, captured here by RDVs, may have an important role in phenotypic variation. Furthermore, in species where complete reference assemblies are unavailable, RDVs of \textit{de novo} contigs could be economical proxies for capturing structural variation, complementing SNP information derived from the same primary data.

Structural variation due to transposable element expansion\textsuperscript{20} and variation in repeat arrays\textsuperscript{21–23} has previously been suggested to underlie genome size variation among maize accessions. We compared the abundance of knob repeats and more than 1,300 transposable element families to flow cytometry estimates of genome size for 38 lines (27 maize and 11 teosinte; Supplementary Fig. 7). We found that larger genomes were not associated with increased transposable element abundance, but genome size was positively correlated with the abundance of total knob repeats (Fig. 3). Except for the relative counts of transposable elements in the RLX\textsubscript{osed}, RLX\textsubscript{sela} and RLX\textsubscript{sari} families (\( r = 0.77, 0.78 \) and 0.62, respectively), the majority of the most abundant families were negatively correlated with genome size (Supplementary Tables 11 and 12). Previous work has indicated that transposable elements in the RLX\textsubscript{osed}, RLX\textsubscript{sela} and RLX\textsubscript{sari} families are likely satellite repeats\textsuperscript{24}, and these elements correlated nearly perfectly with the abundance of knob repeats (\( r = 0.98, 0.97 \) and 1.00, respectively; Supplementary Tables 13 and 14). Hence, whereas transposable elements are well
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**Figure 2** The impact of SNPs and RDVs on phenotype. GWAS on five traits: leaf angle, length and width and resistance to northern leaf blight (NLB) and southern leaf blight (SLB)\textsuperscript{17–19}. (a) The contribution of each class of markers to terms with bootstrap posterior probability (BPP) of \( \geq 0.05 \), showing that SNPs in HapMap2 contributed up to 66% of significantly associated markers. (b) Enrichment of each class of marker to terms with BPP of \( \geq 0.05 \). RDVs contributed disproportionally more to significant terms. (c) Enrichment of genic SNPs in terms with BPP of \( \geq 0.05 \). (d) Significant markers for NLB on chromosome 1. The vertical axis represents the log-scaled \( P \) value for each marker, and the size of each circle represents the BPP of the marker.
Figure 3 Correlation between knobs, transposable elements and genome size in maize. (a) Correlation between knob abundance and genome size. Genome sizes of 17 improved maize lines and 11 teosinte lines correlated with chromosomal knob content (quantified here as reads per kb per million reads mapped (RPKM) of the knob-associated repeats). (b) Correlation between abundance of transposable element families and genome size. Most transposable elements were negatively correlated with genome size, and the transposable elements that were significantly positively correlated were associated with chromosomal knobs.

known to contribute to genetic diversity, chromosomal knob segregation rather than global transposable element proliferation is likely to be the major cause of genome size differences within Z. mays. In contrast, transposable element abundance seems to explain nearly 50% of the 1.5-fold size difference between maize and Tripsacum (Supplementary Tables 15–17). Multiple transposable element families showed higher abundance in Tripsacum (Supplementary Table 18), with the remaining variation in genome size likely attributable to Tripsacum-specific transposable elements and other repetitive elements not in the maize transposable element database.\(^6,25\)

Taken together, our results support the view that global, genome-wide changes in transposable element content drive genome size difference between grass species,\(^24,26\) whereas segregation of large, discrete blocks of heterochromatic repeats determine genome size differences within maize.

Knob and transposable element abundance variation, however, do not explain the karyotypic difference between maize and Tripsacum (2n = 2x = 36). To explore previous suggestions of a shared allopolyploid event in the history of Zea and Tripsacum lineages\(^27,28\), we mapped the proteins encoded by Tripsacum reads against those from maize and Sorghum bicolor\(^29\) and found that 97.8% of genic reads mapped to maize proteins (Supplementary Table 19). A mere 0.28% of all Tripsacum reads showed a closer relationship to Sorghum than to maize, effectively ruling out contribution from a non-Zea genome. Furthermore, Tripsacum reads mapped to the maize reference genome with notably even coverage (Supplementary Fig. 8), suggesting that large-scale structural variations have not occurred since the genera diverged and that observed karyotypic differences are probably the result of chromosome fission. Given that Tripsacum has successfully adapted to a wide range of environments (from South America to Iowa), the similarity between the genomes suggests that Tripsacum genetics should be investigated for use in the improvement of maize, as mining genetic variation in Tripsacum could be very productive.

By providing an unprecedented density of polymorphic markers, the HapMap2 data set we have generated here presents a significant resource for association mapping, genomic selection and the mining of genomic regions that have been selected during domestication and improvement\(^30\). In many plant species, highly repetitive genomes and structural diversity complicate access to genetic markers for germplasm improvement. As maize is not only an economically important crop but also a model for complex genomes, it is anticipated that many of the methods developed here will accelerate genetic variation discovery in other crops.


METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. Sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited at the NCBI Short Read Archive under the accession SRA051245. Maize HapMap2 genotypes and other auxiliary data can be found on the Panzea website (see URLs).

Note: Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper.
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Read mapping, variant identification and scoring. Two complementary pipelines were used to map the reads and identify variant sites, and a scoring model was developed to filter for high-quality polymorphisms resulting from both pipelines. Reads were mapped against the B73 reference sequence7 (version AGPv1). The first pipeline, which was previously used to align unpaired short reads from HapMap1 (ref. 9), uses Bowtie31 and Novoalign for mapping reads. Only uniquely mapping reads were retained. The SAMTools package32 was used to filter for PCR duplicates and identify putative variation sites. The second pipeline used SOAP2 for read mapping33, realSFS34 for identifying putative variation sites and SOAAPsnp33 for calling the genotype of each individual. At this stage, variations were filtered for alternate allele quality and homozygosity (Phred score of ≥20, with ≥90% of the lines homozygous and with heterozygous genotypes being no more than double the frequency of the homozygous minor allele genotypes).

A logistic regression model was used to score the SNPs. The factors in the model included the proportion of homozygous lines, a segregation test and an LD test with an anchor map. This anchor map was a small subset of the sites with (i) a presence in at least 50 lines, (ii) homozygosity in 90% of the lines, (iii) an alternate allele with average quality greater than 20, (iv) minor allele with homozygosity in four lines, (v) contingency test −log10(P) > 2.5, (vi) LD test −log10(P) > 5 and (vii) the imputation of the minor allele correct more than 80% of the time (a test of haplotype structure). The segregation test is a contingency test of read depth for SNP allele by line, with significance determined by permutations9.

Regions of IBD were used to build and test the scoring model, with the expectation that there should be no SNP differences within pairs of IBD segments. The inbred lines were previously genotyped with an Illumina MaizeSNP50 array (M.D.M. and J.R.-I., unpublished data), and IBD regions were identified from these data by identifying unbroken stretches of at least 150 identical SNP alleles between pairs of lines. The distribution of IBD blocks across the genome, in relation to recombination rate, is presented in Supplementary Figure 9. The model coefficients were then determined using the 55 pairs of IBD blocks localized to the pericentromeric region along chromosome 10 (between positions 47–56 Mb in AGPv1), including only sites that could be tested in at least 20 pairs of contrasts.

After filtering and scoring, 55,061,920 variants remained, including 3.2 million indels. The size distribution of indels is shown in Supplementary Figure 10. The coverage of variations across the whole genome is plotted for each chromosome (Supplementary Fig. 11). These scored variants agree very well with previous genotyping results, with discordant rates ranging from 0.10–1.57% (Supplementary Tables 20–23 and Supplementary Note).

LD decay. LD (r2)35 was estimated for all pairs of sites within 300 kb of each other that were homozygous for at least two minor alleles and present in at least 40 lines (minimum allele frequency of 5%). We also conducted a test to evaluate how close we were to complete genome coverage in LD. We did this by calculating the maximum LD for all SNPs within a 300-kb window (using the above position and minor allele cutoffs) (Supplementary Table 24).

Read-depth variation. RDVs were identified for each inbred line by first counting the number of reads mapping to nonoverlapping sliding 10-kb windows across the B73 reference assembly (10-kb RDVs) as well as within genic loci (gene RDVs). Genic loci were defined by the 32,450 filtered gene set annotated on the B73 reference genome (release 4a.53), with an additional 2 kb included at both the 5′ and 3′ ends of the genes. Only mapping results from the paired-end libraries using the Bowtie-Novoalign pipeline were used in this analysis.

The read-depth counts of each line were then compared against a high-coverage B73 sequence library. This B73 library consists of 362 million 76-bp paired-end reads, giving ~25× coverage of the genome (C.S., X.X. and G.Z., unpublished data). EdgeR36, a Bioconductor37 package for analyzing digital gene expression, was then adapted to estimate log2 coverage ratios of each line against this high-depth B73 library (M. Robinson, personal communication). A 10-kb window or gene was considered as having a significant RDV if there was a twofold change compared to the high-coverage B73 library with a false discovery rate of ≤0.01.

The 10% most variant and 10% least variant genes were identified and are listed in Supplementary Table 25. The Arabidopsis and rice orthologs for the most RDV-variant genes are listed in Supplementary Table 26.

RDV anchoring. To determine whether RDVs were anchored locally, we used LD by implementing a simple t test between the 10-kb interval of an RDV (a quantitative character) and the SNP genotype. Key to this contrast is that we looked for LD between ‘missing’ regions of the genome with SNPs that were ‘present’; which prevents extended anomalies from appearing as LD. We only used SNPs that were present in more than 70% of the lines and that had the minor allele in more than five lines (minimum minor allele frequency of >5%). All SNPs within 100 kb of the RDV interval were tested. A simple Bonferroni correction was applied to control for the difference in the number of SNP tests for each interval. To investigate the importance of including SNPs from within the RDV interval, in one version of the test, we excluded all SNPs from within the interval. This yielded only a very minor difference in the results. Because population structure can produce significant results, even for unlinked RDVs, we also conducted randomization tests in which we evaluated the distribution of P values, but only for sites that were on the same chromosome but over 500,000 bp away. This distribution identified P values that were likely the result of population structure from the sites that were almost likely the product of local LD.

GWAS in NAM. GWAS on the NAM population for five traits using the combined HapMap1 and HapMap2 data sets was conducted using a previously described method39, with BPP—defined as the proportion of times a SNP is included in the model—used to evaluate the strength of detected associations. Associations with BPP of 0.05 or greater were used for further analysis.

Assessment of transposable element and knob content. In order to assess transposable element and knob repeat content across the 103 maize and teosinte inbred lines, we followed the SSAHA2 (ref. 38) mapping protocol described in a recent publication41, with the exception that additional comparisons were performed against 180-bp and 360-bp knob-specific tandem repeat (KnobBank, M32522.1 and AF071124.1, respectively). The estimates of knob abundance in each of the 103 lines are listed in Supplementary Table 27.

Flow cytometry. The protocol for the preparation of leaf samples for flow cytometry used in this study is based on a previously described protocol39, with slight modifications (Supplementary Note). As the genome sizes were estimated in two separate experiments, ANOVA models were fitted separately for each experiment with PROC MIXED in SAS statistical software (SAS Institute). The fitted models had genome size as the dependent variable, line as a fixed effect and rep nested within line as a random effect. Degrees of freedom were calculated via the Satterthwaite approximation. Least-square means were obtained with the LSMEANS statement in PROC MIXED. Then, these means were standardized to the mean genome size of the B73 inbred reference standard included in each experiment. This was necessary to permit joint analysis of the genome size data from both experiments.

Interspecies comparison of gene content. Tripsacum seeds were matched using BlastX40 against maize and Sorghum40 proteins, with S. bicolor chosen to represent a non-Zea grass genome. Reads that corresponded to either maize or sorghum proteins were then mapped against the sorghum (v1 assembly) and maize (RefGenV2) reference genomes using Blastn to identify reads that had closer homology to sorghum than maize.


